A Multi-Pulse Pattern Modulation Scheme for Harmonic Mitigation in Three-Phase Multi-Motor Drives

Pooya Davari¹, Yongheng Yang¹, Firuz Zare² and Frede Blaabjerg¹

¹Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Denmark ²Danfoss Power Electronics A/S, Grasten, Denmark

> PRESENTER: POOYA DAVARI 26 AUG 2015

> > WWW.NHTD.ET.AAU.DK



Outline





Electronic Inductor

Proposed Method (Multi-drive configuration)

Experimental Results

Conclusion



Estimated share of electricity consumption for all electric motors

- Developing energy efficient motor drive systems holds a great potential for reducing the worldwide energy consumption.
- Introducing Adjustable Speed Drive (ASD) based on power electronics technology leads to more energy efficient motor drive systems.



From power quality point of view the main concern with ASD systems is the generation of current harmonics which may lead to high losses and stability issues in the grid



Standard Three-Phase Motor Drive System



• Diode rectifier: simple, cost effective and efficient solution. **But** it imposes high level of input current harmonics.

- AC or DC side passive filtering (inductor): simple and effective to some extent. **But** they are bulky, costly, causes resonance, worsen system dynamic, and etc.
- Active harmonic mitigation solutions have been introduced to improve the input current quality.
 But they are complex, costly and reduce system efficiency.



Three-Phase Diode Rectifier with DC Side Passive Filtering





Three-Phase Diode Rectifier with DC Side Passive Filtering



• The effective impedance reduces proportionally with the reduction in the load current.

Electronic Inductor



Basic Concept



$$i_a = \frac{4}{n\pi} [I_{dc} \cos(n30)]$$

- Emulating the behavior of an ideal infinite inductor
- THD_i and Power Factor (λ) independent of the load profile.

Multi-Drive



Improving the input current quality by combining the nonlinear loads

- In many applications it is a common practice to employ parallel connected drive units. In this situation the application demand is met using multiple modestly sized motor units rather than one single large unit.
- The undesirable nonlinearity of the conventional AC-DC conversion stage becomes significant, when a large number of industrial converters and ASD systems are connected to the Point of Common Coupling (PCC).
- The feasibility of this solution is attained only when suitable and accessible communication among the nonlinear units can be performed.





Basic Concept



- Emulating the behavior of an ideal infinite inductor
- THD_i and Power Factor (λ) independent of the load profile.



Square wave current





Single-Drive (Proposed method)



Pulse pattern current modulation (extending the system flexibility)



Single-Drive (Proposed method)

Pulse pattern current modulation Harmonic Elimination (α_f = 0°)

$$i_d(n) = \frac{4}{n\pi} \left[I_{dc1} \cos(n30) + I_{dc2} \cos(n\alpha_1) - I_{dc2} \cos(n\alpha_2) \right]$$

HING NEW GROUND

12



• Adding or subtracting phase-displaced current levels

Single-Drive (Proposed method)



Pulse pattern current modulation Harmonic Elimination (α_f ≠ 0°)

$$i_{s}(n) = \sqrt{(a_{n} + a'_{n})^{2} + (b_{n} + b'_{n})^{2}}$$

centered

θ

θ

Isa

I_{dc1}

-/_{dc}

30°





30°.

π

 I_{dc2}

• Adding or subtracting phase-displaced current levels



Pulse pattern current modulation





Pulse pattern current modulation FFT equations



I_{dc1}.

Optimum Harmonic Solution

$$\begin{cases} Obj_{1} = M_{a} - \left|i_{g}(1)\right| \leq L_{1} \\ Obj_{n} = \frac{\left|i_{g}(n)\right|}{\left|i_{g}(1)\right|} \leq L_{n} \\ Objective Function \\ Weighting Factor \\ Where n = 6k \pm 1 \text{ with } k \text{ being } 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

HING NEW GROUND

$$\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_m < \alpha_0 + \frac{\pi}{3}$$

• Instead of fully nullifying the distortions, the harmonics could be reduced to acceptable levels by adding suitable constraints (L_n) .

• Here, F_{obj} is formed based on a squared error with more flexibility by adding constant weight values (w_n) to each squared error function



System Structure



- Employing fast current control method
- **Boosting the output voltage**





• Independent of the load profile

• Low switching frequency at high power and high switching frequency at low power



Implemented Setup

Symbol PARAMETER Value Grid phase voltage 220 V_{rms} V_{g'abc} 50 Hz **Grid frequency** # PLL₁ Firing $\check{\mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{g}}}(\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{g}},\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{q}})$ **Grid impedance** 0.1 mH, 0.01 Ω Driver Modulation **DC link inductor** 2 mH Signal L_{dc} **DC** link capacitor 470 µF C_{dc} Output voltage 700 V_{dc} V_o K_p, K_i PI controller (Boost converter) 0.01, 0.1 PLL₂ K_{f}, t_{s}, ξ **PLL** parameters 0.8, 0.2 s, 1.41 HB **Hysteresis Band** Modulation **2A** Signal Umd P_{o_total} **Total output power** ≈5.5 kW Output terminals Measurement unit Measurement unit **B6** Thyristor triggering Output terminals Input terminals unit DC-link and (3-phase) DC-link and output capacitors output capacitors Diode rectifier (C_{dc}) put terminals (Cdc (3-phase) **SCR** Power switches (IGBT+Diode) Thyristors Power switches (IGBT + Diode) Controller Controller Gate-drive Boost inductor (L_s) Gate-drive Boost inductor (L_d)

Parameters of the multi-rectifier system

Experimental Results



Flat current (α_f = 36°)

 $V_o = 700 V_{dc}$

 $L_{\rm d}$ = $L_{\rm s}$ = 2mH





Harmonic Mitigation	Harmonic Distribution and THD _i (%)						
Strategy	i _a (5)/ i _a (1)	i _a (7)/ i _a (1)	i _a (11)/ i _a (1)	i _a (13)/ i _a (1)	THD _i		
Square wave (α _f = 36°)	2.4	9.3	9.6	4.3	16		
Conventional method (square wave α _f = 0º)	20.8	13.1	8.8	7	29		

5 X 36° = 180°

Experimental Results



Optimized for low order harmonics (5th, 7th and 11th) + THD_i < 12% PCC C_{dc} ŚR⊨ı Grid – PI 🗲 PLL₁ Firing Driver Modulation $\leftarrow \omega t$ Signal U_{ms} ←α ξR_{L2} İ_{Ld} $PLL_2 \rightarrow$ PI **←**ᠿ+ # Modulation *i*_{Ld} ⊢ωt Signal U_{md}



$$V_o = 700 V_{dc}$$

 $L_{\rm d} = L_{\rm s} = 2\rm{mH}$

Diode Rectifier			SCR				
I _{dc1}	I _{dc2}	α_1^{o}	I _{dc1}	I _{dc2}	α_1^{o}	α_f^{o}	
0.4	0.22	50	0.4	0.22	50	36	

Harmonic Mitigation	Harmonic Distribution and THD _i (%)						
Strategy	i _a (5)/ i _a (1)	i _a (7)/ i _a (1)	i _a (11)/ i _a (1)	i _a (13)/ i _a (1)	THD _i		
Optimized I	1.7	0.4	1.9	6	11.4		
Conventional method (square wave α _f = 0°)	20.8	13.1	8.8	7	29		

Experimental Results



TELEDYNE LECROY

Optimized for minimum THD_i



$$v_{an}: 200 \text{ V/div}$$

$$i_{g} - \text{phase/a}$$

$$i_{g} - \text{phas/a}$$

$$i_{g} - \text{phas$$

 $L_{\rm d}$ = $L_{\rm s}$ = 2mH

Diode Rectifier			SCR				
I _{dc1}	I _{dc2}	α_1^{o}	I _{dc1}	I _{dc2}	α_1^{o}	α_{f}^{o}	
0.41	0.2	50	0.41	0.2	49.9	38.7	

Harmonic Mitigation	Harmonic Distribution and THD _i (%)						
Strategy	i _a (5)/ i _a (1)	i _a (7)/ i _a (1)	i _a (11)/ i _a (1)	i _a (13)/ i _a (1)	THD _i		
Optimized II	1.6	0.92	2.9	3	10		
Conventional method (square wave α _f = 0º)	20.8	13.1	8.8	7	29		

Conclusion



New harmonic elimination approach by combining different non-linear loads. By adjusting phase angle of SCR units.

Applying a novel current modulation scheme to further improve the current quality.

THD_i is independent of the load profile

• As long as the following equation holds true, the rectifiers will draw equal amount of current form the grid; otherwise it should be reflected in the optimization process.

$$\frac{P_{o_d}}{P_{o_s}} \times \cos(\alpha_f) = 1$$



Thank You